Case	2:11-cv-03813-GAF-MRW Document 1 F	Filed 05/03/11 Page 1 of 18 Page ID #:4			
1 2 3 4 5 6	Stephanie R. Tatar, Esq. (SBN: 23779) Tatar Law Firm, APC 3500 West Olive Ave., Suite 300 Burbank, CA 91505 (323) 744-1146 Telephone (888) 778-5695 Facsimile Stephanie@TheTatarLawFirm.com	LOS ANGELES			
7 8	Attorney for Plaintiff, KYRAN BARR				
9		TES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	2 Ozt Title Omit I KAL DIS	SIMOI OF CALIFORNIA			
11	KYRAN BARR, an individual,)			
12	Plaintiff,) Complaint			
13) Comp Antion N			
14	vs.	Case Action No.:			
15	THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT				
16	J. COLCLOUGH, III, a business entity, form unknown, and ALLIED) ,			
17	COLLECTION SERVICES OF)			
18	CALIFORNIA, LLC, a limited liability company,)			
19	Defendants				
20	Defendants.) "			
21		ž.			
22					
23					
24	v ×				
25					
26		9 8 b			
27	2				
28	S	15			
		•			
	COMPI AINT AND DEA	1 MAND FOR HIPVTPRAL			
1	COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

KYRAN BARR (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), by his attorney, Stephanie R. Tatar, alleges the following against THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT J. COLCLOUGH, III (hereinafter referred to as "COLCLOUGH"), and ALLIED COLLECTION SERVICES OF CALIFORNIA, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "ALLIED"):

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. Section 1692 of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act: Congressional finding and declaration of purpose identifies that "there is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors. Abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs and to the invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). Congress also passed this statute, because the current laws were "inadequate to protect consumers." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(b) Moreover, the purpose of the statute is to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692(e)
- 2. Section 1788.1 of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Legislative Findings: the banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts. Unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confident which is essential to the continued functioning of the banking

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

 3. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 1337, and supplemental jurisdiction exists for the state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

4. Venue lies in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

III. PARTIES

5. Plaintiff KYRAN BARR is an adult individual residing in Redondo Beach, California.

6. Defendant COLCLOUGH is a business entity with its principal office located in Van Nuys, California, and which regularly conducts business in the State of California. The principal purpose of COLCLOUGH is the collection of debts already in default using the mails and telephone, and COLCLOUGH regularly attempts to collect said debts.

7. Defendant ALLIED is a limited liability company with its principal office located in Van Nuys, California, and which is qualified to and regularly conducts business in the State of California. The principal purpose of ALLIED is the collection of debts already in default using the mails and telephone, and ALLIED regularly attempts to collect said debts.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. At all times pertinent hereto, COLCLOUGH attempted to collect a debt relating to consumer purchases (hereafter the "debt").

9. At all times pertinent hereto, ALLIED attempted to collect a debt relating to consumer purchases.

- 2
- 3 4
- 5
- 6 7
- 8
- 9
- 10 11
- 12 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26 27
- 28

- The debt at issue arises out of an alleged transaction which was 10. primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- The debt which Defendants attempted to collect pertained to a 11. HSBC credit card.
 - The debt became delinquent in or about 2005. 12.
- In or around 2008, Plaintiff began receiving calls from 13. ALLIED regarding the debt.
- In January, 2011, Plaintiff reviewed his credit reports, and 14. discovered that ALLIED was reporting this debt on his credit reports.
- 15. On January 31, 2011, Plaintiff wrote to ALLIED to dispute the debt.
- In that correspondence, Plaintiff also demand validation of the amount owed, the calculation used to determine the amount owed, demanded copies of any papers that evidenced the amount owed, the original creditor's address and proof that the statute of limitations had not expired.
- Plaintiff also demanded that all further communications be 17. made in writing.
- On March 3, 2011, Plaintiff received a response to the dispute letter he sent to ALLIED. See correspondence attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "A."
- The letter was written on paper bearing the letterhead "The Law Offices of Robert J. Colclough, III."
- In the subject heading, COLCLOUGH referenced "Case No. 20. 232991."
- ALLIED, by and through COLCLOUGH, demanded payment of 21. a principal amount of \$6,057.59.
 - ALLIED, by and through COLCLOUGH demanded payment of 22.

- 2
- 3
- 4 5
- 6 7
- 8 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25 26
- 27
- 28

- interest in the amount of \$5,244.11.
- ALLIED, by and through COLCLOUGH demanded payment of a total sum of \$11,302.70.
- The letter represented that COLCLOUGH was retained by HSBC Bank and Metric Credit Card.
- The letter indicated that the purpose of the letter was to provide "valid proof of the debt."
- A proof of the debt, ALLIED, by and through COLCLOUGH attached a redacted trade line from Plaintiff's credit report, which purported to show the HSBC tradeline.
- The correspondence also stated that this would be the lat attempt to resolve the debt.
- The correspondence also stated that the account had not been 28. reviewed by an attorney.
- The correspondence stated that if COLCLOUGH did not receive payment for the account, its client "has instructed this office to file legal action that could result in a judgment against you. That judgment would include the actual cost of the filing fee, the actual cost of the service of process and reasonable attorneys fees."
- The correspondence also stated that if Plaintiff wished to protect his credit, he must mail the balance due immediately.
- Neither ALLIED nor COLCLOUGH was in possession of the original agreement between Plaintiff and HSBC that gave rise to the debt.
 - To date, no lawsuit has been filed against Plaintiff. 32.
- ALLIED acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair 33. manner when it engaged in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse such person contacted.
 - COLCLOUGH acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair 34.

3

4 5

7 8

6

9 10

12

13

14

11

15 16

17 18

20 21

19

22 23

24 25 26

27 28 manner when it engaged in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse such person contacted.

- At all times pertinent hereto, ALLIED was acting by and through its agents, servants and/or employees who were acting within the scope and course of their employment, and under the direct supervision and control of ALLIED.
- At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of ALLIED as well as its agents, servants and/or employees, was malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, negligent and in wanton disregard for federal and state law and the rights of the Plaintiff herein.
- As a result of ALLIED's conduct, Plaintiff suffered headaches, nervousness, sleeplessness, upset stomach, and lack of concentration.
- As a result of ALLIED's conduct, Plaintiff has sustained actual damages, including, but not limited to, injury to Plaintiff's reputation, invasion of privacy, damage to Plaintiff's credit, out-of-pocket expenses, physical, emotional and mental pain and anguish and pecuniary loss and he will continue to suffer same for an indefinite time in the future, all to him great detriment and loss.
- At all times pertinent hereto, COLCLOUGH was acting by and through its agents, servants and/or employees who were acting within the scope and course of their employment, and under the direct supervision and control of COLCLOUGH.
- At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of COLCLOUGH as well as its agents, servants and/or employees, was malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, negligent and in wanton disregard for federal and state law and the rights of the Plaintiff herein.
- As a result of COLCLOUGH's conduct, Plaintiff suffered headaches, stress, nervousness, sleeplessness, upset stomach, and lack of

concentration.

42. As a result of COLCLOUGH's conduct, Plaintiff has sustained actual damages, including, but not limited to, injury to Plaintiff's reputation, invasion of privacy, damage to Plaintiff's credit, out-of-pocket expenses, physical, emotional and mental pain and anguish and pecuniary loss and he will continue to suffer same for an indefinite time in the future, all to him great detriment and loss.

V. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF- VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AGAINST ALLIED

- 43. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 44. ALLIED is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) of the FDCPA.
- 45. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) of the FDCPA.
- 46. The above contacts between ALLIED and Plaintiff were "communications" relating to a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2) and 1692a(5) of the FDCPA.
- 47. ALLIED violated the FDCPA. ALLIED's violations include, but are not limited to:
 - a. §1692e(2)(a) as ALLIED falsely represented the character, amount or legal status of the debt.
 - b. §1692e(5) as ALLIED threatened to take action that could not legally be taken nor did ALLIED intend to take;
 - §1692e(10) by using false representation that Plaintiff's credit would be protected if he paid the debt;

- d. §1692e by using false, deceptive and misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection;
- e. §1692f(1) by collecting an amount which was not authorized by agreement or law;
- f. Otherwise using false, deceptive, misleading and unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt from the Plaintiff.
- 48. ALLIED's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 49. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, ALLIED is liable to Plaintiff in the sum of Plaintiff's statutory damages, actual damages and attorney's fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that relief be granted as follows:

- a. That judgment be entered against ALLIED for actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. That judgment be entered against ALLIED for statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. That the Court award costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3); and
- d. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

VI. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF- VIOLATION OF THE 1 2 FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT AGAINST **COLCLOUGH** 3 Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the 4 50. same were set forth at length herein. 5 6 51. COLCLOUGH is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) of the FDCPA. 7 Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) of 8 the FDCPA. 9 The above contacts between COLCLOUGH and Plaintiff were 10 53. "communications" relating to a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2) 11 and 1692a(5) of the FDCPA. 12 COLCLOUGH violated the FDCPA. COLCLOUGH's violations 13 54. include, but are not limited to: 14 a. §1692e(2)(a) as COLCLOUGH falsely represented the 15 character, amount or legal status of the debt. 16 b. §1692e(5) as COLCLOUGH threatened to take action that 17 could not legally be taken nor did COLCLOUGH intend to 18 take; 19 using false, deceptive c. §1692e by and 20 representation or means in connection with the debt 21 collection; 22 d. §1692e(10) by using false representation that Plaintiff's 23 credit would be protected if he paid the debt; 24 e. §1692f(1) by collecting an amount which was not authorized 25 by agreement or law; 26 27

28

misleading

- f. Otherwise using false, deceptive, misleading and unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect the alleged debt from the Plaintiff.
- 55. COLCLOUGH's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of coercing Plaintiff to pay the alleged debt.
- 56. As a result of the above violations of the FDCPA, COLCLOUGH is liable to Plaintiff in the sum of Plaintiff's statutory damages, actual damages and attorney's fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that relief be granted as follows:

- a. That judgment be entered against COLCLOUGH for actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. That judgment be entered against COLCLOUGH for statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. That the Court award costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3); and
- d. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

VII. THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF - VIOLATIONS OF THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT CAL.CIV.CODE §1788 et seq. AGAINST ALLIED

- 57. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 58. ALLIED sought to collect a consumer debt which was due and owing, or alleged to be due and owing, from Plaintiff. Plaintiff is a "debtor"

e. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the

Of E 2 U.S. Government Defendant 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Citizen of Another State Citizen of Subject of a Foreign Country 3	PTF DEF Orporated or Principal Place
Place an X in one box for plaintiff and one for defended and the party of Parties in Item III) Citizen of This State PTF DEF	PTF DEF Orporated or Principal Place
Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State Citizen of Another State Citizen of Another State Citizen of Subject of a Foreign Country The Country and a Remanded from Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Reopened V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Yes No (Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.) CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes No MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite ju Violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et. seq. VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.) 20 Marine 11 Inconfiction of the This State Citizen of This State Citizen of Another State Citize	orporated or Principal Place
Of Parties in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	Business in Another State eign Nation
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) 1 Original): 6 Multi- 7 Appeal to District District Judge from Litigation Magistrate Judge
Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened V. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: Wes No (Check 'Yes' only if demanded in complaint.) CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes No MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite ju Violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. 1692 et. seq. VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.) SOUTH 10 Antitrust 110 Insurance 288 USA 1818 CSA 1818 CS	District Judge from Litigation Magistrate Judge : \$
Comparison of the product	
□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 110 Insurance □ 310 Airplane □ 310 Airplane □ 370 Other Fraud □ 370 Oth	The state of the s
□ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 530 Ger □ 460 Deportation □ 00 Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 330 Fed. Employers' Liability □ 380 Other Personal □ 530 Ger □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 151 Medicare Act □ 340 Marine □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 340 Marine □ 355 Prisective □ 555 Prisective □ 555 Prisective □ 555 Prisective □ 555 Prisective □ 153 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Vetrans) □ 350 Motor Vehicle Wotor Vehicle □ 422 Appeal 28 USC □ 555 Prisective □ 158 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 158 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 □ 150 Other Personal Injury □ 441 Voting □ 441 Voting □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 441 Voting □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 441 Voting □ 442 Employment □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 442 Employment □ 625 Drug □ 620 Other Personal Injury □ 640 R.F. □ 640 R.F. □ 640 R.F.<	ate Sentence deas Corpus deral

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

Case 2:11-cV-N35P3-EATE-MANNIST CON BT: CENTRAL DISTRICT PAGE 14FORNS Page ID #:17

10 11 1 1 1 1		eviously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed? No Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? ✓ No □ Yes If yes, list case number(s): □						
Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: (Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.						
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	on, use an additional sheet if necessary.)				
(a) List the County in this District; ☐ Check here if the government, i	California County of ts agencies or emplo	atside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. yees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles	×					
(b) List the County in this District; ☐ Check here if the government, i	California County of ts agencies or emplo	utside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. yees is a named defendant. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles						
(c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation c		atside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. n of the tract of land involved.				
County in this District:*		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernai Note: In land condemnation cases, us		ntura, Santa Barbara, or San Luis Obispo Counties tract of land involved				
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER):	Date 5-2-11				
or other papers as required by lav	w. This form, appro-	vil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings ed by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	ocial Security Cases					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.				

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2

865

RSI

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C.(g))

Tatar @ase 2n11Acv-03813-GAF-MRW Document 3500 West Olive Ave., Suite 300 Burbank, CA 91505 Tel: (323) 744-1146	1 Filed 05/03/11 Page 15 of 18 Page ID #:18				
er e					
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
KYRAN BARR, an individual,	CASE NUMBER				
PLAINTIFF(S) v.	CV11 03813 JEM				
THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT J. COLCLOUGH, III, a business entity, form unknown, and ALLIED COLLECTION SERVICES OF CALIFORNIA, LLC, a limited liability company, DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS				
TO: DEFENDANT(S): THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT J. COLCLOUGH, III and ALLIED COLLECTION SERVICES OF CALIFORNIA, LLC A lawsuit has been filed against you.					
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached ☑ complaint ☐ amended complaint ☐ counterclaim ☐ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Stephanie R. Tatar who are of the plaintiff's attorney, Stephanie R. Tatar who are of the plaintiff's attorney, Stephanie R. Tatar who are of the plaintiff's attorney and the plaintiff's attorney are of the plaintiff's attorney and the plaintiff's attorney are of the plaintiff's attorney attorney are of the plaintiff's attor					
judgment by default will be entered against you for the pyour answer or motion with the court.	relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file				
Dated:	Clerk, U.S. District Court CHRISTOPHER P				
[1] so 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State	(Seal of the Court) 1181 2s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed				
[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United State 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	s agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed				

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE TO COUNSEL (MAGISTRATE JUDGE CIVIL CONSENT PILOT PROJECT)

The court has directed that the following rules be specifically called to your attention:

- Notice of Right to Consent to Disposition of a Civil Case by a United States Magistrate Judge [28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and General Order 08-09].
- II. Continuing Obligation to Report Related Cases (Local Rule 83-1.3.3)
- III. Service of Papers and Process (Local Rule 4)

I. NOTICE OF RIGHT TO CONSENT TO DISPOSITION OF A CIVIL CASE BY A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE

Pursuant to Local Rule 73-2, the initiating party must serve this notice and consent form CV-11C on each party at the time of service of the summons and complaint or other initial pleading.

The parties are advised that they may consent to have the assigned magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the case, including trial and final entry of judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 73. The parties may consent to proceed only before the assigned magistrate judge.

The parties are further advised that they are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences. If the parties agree to the exercise of jurisdiction by the magistrate judge, the parties shall jointly or separately file a statement of consent setting forth such election. For cases originally filed in district court and initially assigned only to a magistrate judge, the statement of consent shall be filed within 30 days after service of the summons and complaint upon that defendant, and within 30 days by plaintiff after service upon the first-served defendant.

For cases removed from state court and initially assigned only to a magistrate judge, a joint or separate statements of consent shall be filed by plaintiff and all defendants upon whom service has been effected, within 11 days after the notice of removal is filed.

Since magistrate judges do not handle felony criminal trials, civil trial dates are not at risk of being preempted by a felony criminal trial, which normally has priority. Further, in some cases, the magistrate judge may be able to assign an earlier trial date than a district judge. There may be other advantages or disadvantages which you will want to consider.

Any appeal from a judgment of the magistrate judge shall be taken to the United States Court of Appeals in the same manner as an appeal from any other judgment of the district court in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636(c)(3).

If a party has not consented to the exercise of jurisdiction by the magistrate judge within the time

required by Local Rule 73-2, the case shall be randomly reassigned to a district judge and a magistrate judge shall be randomly assigned to the reassigned case as the discovery judge.

You may contact the Civil Consent Case Coordinator at (213) 894-4446 or consentcoordinator@caed.uscourts.gov if you have any questions about this new Pilot Project.

II. CONTINUING OBLIGATION TO REPORT RELATED CASES

Parties are under the continuing obligation to promptly advise the Court whenever one or more civil actions or proceedings previously commenced and one or more currently filed appear to be related.

Local Rule 83-1.3.3 states: "It shall be the continuing duty of the attorney in any case promptly to bring to the attention of the Court, by filing a Notice of Related Case(s) pursuant to Local Rule 83-1.3, all facts which in the opinion of the attorney or party appear relevant to a determination whether such action and one or more pending actions should, under the criteria and procedures set forth in Local Rule 83-1.3, be heard by the same judge."

Local Rule 83-1.2.1 states: "It is not permissible to dismiss and thereafter refile an action for the purpose of obtaining a different judge."

Local Rule 83-1.2.2 provides: Whenever an action is dismissed by a party or by the Court before judgment and thereafter the same or essentially the same claims, involving the same or essentially the same parties, are alleged in another action, the later-filed action shall be assigned to the judge to whom the first-filed action was assigned. It shall be the duty of every attorney in any such later-filed action to bring those facts to the attention of the Court in the Civil Cover Sheet and by the filing of a Notice of Related Case(s) pursuant to L.R. 83-1.3.

III. SERVICE OF PAPERS AND PROCESS

Local Rule 4-2 states: "Except as otherwise provided by order of Court, or when required by the treaties or statutes of the United States, process shall not be presented to a United States Marshal for service." Service of process must be accomplished in accordance with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or in any manner provided by State Law, when applicable. Service upon the United States, an officer or agency thereof, shall be served pursuant to the provisions of FRCP 4(I). Service should be promptly made; unreasonable delay may result in dismissal of the action under Local Rule 41 and Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Proof of service or a waiver of service of summons and complaint must be filed with the court.

03813-JEM Document 3, 1 Filed 05/03/11 Page 3 of 3 of 1896 to #:21 NAME, ADDRESS & TELEPHONE NUMBER OF ATTORNEY(S) FOR, OR, PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT IF PLAINTIFF OR DEFENDANT IS PRO PER ATTORNEYS FOR: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA **CASE NUMBER** KYRAN BARR CV11-3813 JEM Plaintiff(s). V STATEMENT OF CONSENT TO PROCEED BEFORE A UNITED STASTES MAGISTRATE JUDGE THE LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT J. (For use in Magistrate Judge COLCLOUGH, III, ET AL. Defendant(s) Civil Consent Pilot Project Cases only) THIS FORM SHALL BE USED ONLY FOR CASES IN WHICH A MAGISTRATE JUDGE IS **INITIALLY ASSIGNED PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 73-2** All parties to the above-captioned civil matter are to check one of the two following options and file this document with the Clerk's Office: In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(C) and F.R.Civ.P.73(b), the party or parties listed below to the above-captioned civil matter hereby waive their right to proceed before a District Judge and Consent to have the assigned Magistrate Judge John E. McDermott conduct all further proceedings in the case, including trial and entry of final judgment. Any appeal from a judgment of the assigned Magistrate Judge shall be taken to the United States Court of Appeals in the same manner as an appeal from any other judgment of the District Court in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(3). The party or parties listed below to the above-captioned civil matter Do Not Consent to proceed before the assigned Magistrate Judge John E. McDermott. The party or parties listed below acknowledge that they are free to withhold consent without adverse substantive consequences. Name of Counsel (OR Party if Pro Per) Signature and date Counsel for (Name Parties) Check this box if all parties have consented to proceed before the assigned Magistrate Judge.

NOTICE TO COUNSEL FROM CLERK

All parties having consented to proceed before the assigned magistrate judge, this case will remain assigned to United States Magistrate Judge John E. McDermott for all further proceedings.